

# Shaping the New World Order: Political Stability and Power Dynamics

Sheraz Mehmood <sup>1\*</sup>, Elnara Allahverdiyeva <sup>2</sup>, Subhan Alakbar Talibli <sup>3</sup>, Abdullayev Babek Alisohbat oglu <sup>4</sup>, Tutu Ramiz gizi Eyvazova <sup>5</sup>, and Ahmadova Shahla Muzaffar <sup>6</sup>

1 PhD Scholar in International Relations, Western Caspian University, Baku, Azerbaijan;

2 Department of Technology Transfer and Innovation, Nakhchivan State University, Nakhchivan, Azerbaijan;

3 Shamakhi Branch of Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University, Shamakhi, Azerbaijan;

4 Shamakhi Branch of Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University, Shamakhi, Azerbaijan;

5 Baku Business University, Baku, Azerbaijan;

6 Department of History, Geography and Methodology, Sumgait State University, Sumgait, Azerbaijan.

\* **Corresponding author:** mehmood.sherazkhan@gmail.com.

**ABSTRACT:** With an emphasis on great power rivalries and their regional ramifications, this research article examines the complex dynamics of global political stability in the context of the changing New World Order. According to survey data, 68% of respondents believe that the current global power structures are fragile, indicating a general concern over political instability. The results show that major powers are becoming more competitive, with the United States, China, and Russia largely influencing the security, governance, and economic structures of regions like the Middle East, South Asia, and Eastern Europe. Interestingly, 72% of respondents stressed that these rivalries have a direct impact on regional conflicts. A balanced system of global governance, improved multilateral diplomacy, and the encouragement of regional self-reliance were also mentioned by the respondents. In order to promote a multipolar but cooperative global order, this article suggests reviving international organizations such as the United Nations, fostering strategic regional alliances, and fostering inclusive dialogue between established and emerging powers. To offset the risks of conflict escalation and regional destabilization, emphasis is also placed on capacity-building, transparency, and long-term peacebuilding mechanisms. Although great power competition is unavoidable, the conclusion emphasizes that proactive policy design based on collaboration and fortitude can lessen its negative effects and advance a more secure, just global order.

**Keywords:** global political stability, new world order, great power rivalry, geopolitics, multipolarity.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Great power rivalries, particularly those between the US, China, and Russia, are resurfacing in the modern international system, which has important ramifications for world political stability and the nascent world order. In addition to influencing how these superpowers interact, this renewed rivalry has a significant impact on regional dynamics and stability.

In the past, the post-Cold War era was marked by a US-dominated unipolar system. Recent changes, however, point to a move toward multipolarity as new powers make their mark on the international scene [1]. As countries look to safeguard their interests and increase their geopolitical influence, this shift has heightened strategic competition.

This trend is best illustrated by the Asia-Pacific area, where major powers' strategic rivalry has gotten more intense and could spark a strategic arms race [2]. The interplay between regional and global power structures is also increasingly influencing the stability and development of the Arctic region, which reflects the wider ramifications of great power politics [3].

Concepts like constructivism and realism provide useful theoretical frameworks for comprehending these dynamics. According to a constructivist and realist analysis, regional stability is greatly impacted by contemporary rivalries between major powers, with both material and ideational factors being important [4]. Furthermore, as evidenced by the strategic approaches to crisis mediation taken by countries such as Saudi Arabia, Brazil, and Russia under various international system structures, the interplay of power at the global-regional nexus shapes the actions of regional powers [5].

Due to growing rivalries between major world powers and aspirant countries, international organizations and alliances encounter difficulties in resolving conflicts on a regional level in this context [6]. Maintaining global stability in the age of great power rivalry requires an understanding of the global origins of regional conflicts and an investigation of potential solutions for their management.

The goal of this study is to examine how the geopolitical environment is changing, with major global powers becoming more competitive, and how this affects regional and global stability. Through an analysis of political stability perceptions, major threats, and the role of influential actors, this research aims to shed light on the ways in which great power rivalry shapes regional dynamics and to guide the development of diplomatic engagement and long-term global stability strategies in the new world order.

## II. RELATED WORK AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

In order to explain the dynamics of geopolitical shifts and their regional ramifications, this framework incorporates important variables and their interactions. The interaction of regional stability, global powers, and influencing elements like political alliances, economic strategies, and technological domination is depicted in Figure 1.

### 1. IMPORTANT ELEMENTS OF THE FRAMEWORK

Rivalries of Global Power

Key Players: BRICS, China, Russia, the United States, and the European Union.

Important Dynamics: strategic partnerships, military clout, and economic rivalry.

### 2. FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE

Economic Power: Investment plans, trade regulations, and financial crises.

Military Influence: Power projection, regional conflicts, and arms races.

Technological advancements include cybersecurity, digital warfare, and the dominance of AI.

Climate and Resource Control: Competition for resources, environmental catastrophes, and energy security.

### 3. STABILITY IN THE REGION

The Middle East, Eastern Europe, Asia-Pacific, and the South Caucasus are all at risk.

Impact Areas: Economic reliance, political changes, and the intensification of conflicts.

### 4. INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS' FUNCTION

G7, BRICS, NATO, and the UN as contributors to or stabilizers of power changes.

### 5. DIPLOMACY AND POLICY

Techniques used by smaller countries to take advantage of great power competition for the good of the region. mediation of conflicts and multilateral engagement.

Figure 1 highlights the role of international organizations and policy measures while illustrating the interdependence of global power rivalry, influencing factors, and regional stability.



**FIGURE 1.** Geopolitical shifts and their regional implications.

This demonstrates the intricate relationships that exist between influential elements, regional stability, and geopolitical powers. Every element contributes differently to the formation of world stability.

#### 5.1 Rivalries For Global Power

This key component stands for the continuous strategic rivalry between regional alliances like the European Union and BRICS and superpowers like the United States, China, and Russia.

This competition shapes the larger geopolitical environment through diplomatic wrangling, military positioning, and economic clout.

Impact: Increases tensions in the geopolitical arena.

influences foreign policy, defense plans, and international trade routes.

#### 5.2 Contributing Elements

These are important factors that influence regional results and feed the struggle for dominance in the world.

##### 5.2.1 Economic Power

includes economic sanctions, trade policies, and financial clout.

Strong economies use currency dominance, trade agreements, and foreign investments to expand their influence.

One example of how economic growth strengthens geopolitical power is China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI).

Impact: Global influence is increased by robust economic growth.

Regions can become unstable due to economic crises or financial instability.

##### 5.2.2 The Impact Of The Military

includes arms proliferation, military alliances, and defense capabilities.

To establish dominance, great powers expand their bases, build up their militaries, and wage proxy wars.

China's strategic actions in the South China Sea and the U.S.-NATO presence in Eastern Europe are two examples.

Impact: Increasing military force may deter aggression or cause instability in the area.

Conflict risk may rise as a result of arms races.

##### 5.2.3 The Development Of Technology

Leading the way in technology has become essential, especially in AI, cybersecurity, and digital warfare.

Countries that make significant investments in innovation gain strategic and economic clout.

The rivalry between the United States and China over dominance in semiconductors and digital infrastructure are two examples.

Impact: Digital transformation can improve military prowess and economic expansion.

---

Digital warfare and cyberthreats present new security threats.

### *5.3 Stability In The Region*

The degree of great power competition and the capacity of regional actors to uphold peace determine regional stability.

Geopolitical conflicts frequently arise in vulnerable areas like the South Caucasus, Asia-Pacific, and Eastern Europe.

Impact: Through political influence, economic reliance, or conflict, increased competition can destabilize areas. On the other hand, strategic alliances can support regional development and security.

#### *5.3.1 International Organizations' Function*

Organizations like the UN, NATO, G7, and BRICS are essential for resolving disputes, promoting peace, and calming unstable areas.

Although these groups can lessen power struggles, conflicting national interests frequently present difficulties for them.

Impact: Peace and development are fostered by effective interventions.

Internal conflicts or inefficiency, however, may lessen their stabilizing effect.

#### *5.3.2 Diplomacy And Policy*

Strategic diplomacy is frequently used by smaller countries and regional actors to maintain power balance and protect national interests.

Important diplomatic instruments include trade partnerships, multilateral cooperation, and conflict resolution.

Impact: Good diplomacy can ensure regional stability, foster economic ties, and ease tensions.

On the other hand, hostile foreign policies could intensify competition.

#### *5.3.3 Innovation In Economics*

Investments in digital transformation, infrastructure development, and renewable energy help countries become more powerful economically and globally.

Forward-thinking economies use innovation to diversify their revenue sources and lessen their reliance on natural resources.

Impact: Long-term economic resilience and geopolitical leverage are enhanced by sustainable innovations.

Nations that don't innovate run the risk of losing their influence and experiencing economic stagnation.

### *5.4 Global Stability That Is Sustainable*

This result illustrates a situation in which regional security, economic growth, and diplomatic collaboration all work together to lessen tensions around the world.

This calls for striking a balance between cooperative diplomacy, sustainable development objectives, and geopolitical competition.

Impact: Stability promotes investment in global advancement, lowers the likelihood of conflict, and grows international trade.

The dynamic interaction between major powers, regional players, and international organizations is highlighted by this conceptual framework. Policymakers can create plans to lessen conflict, improve collaboration, and create a more stable global order by comprehending these intricate relationships.

## **III. MATERIAL AND METHOD**

The research design, data collection techniques, data analysis strategy, application of the theoretical framework, ethical considerations, and possible limitations are all described in the methodology.

### *1. RESEARCH DESIGN TO CAPTURE THE INTRICATE DYNAMICS OF GEOPOLITICAL COMPETITION AND ITS REGIONAL EFFECTS, THIS STUDY USES A MIXED-METHODS RESEARCH DESIGN THAT COMBINES QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE APPROACHES.*

Qualitative Approach: A thorough examination of political plans, historical occurrences, and literature on international relations to investigate power struggles and diplomatic ploys [7].

The quantitative method Perceptions of stability, power influence, and new threats will be measured using survey data from academics, policymakers, and geopolitical analysts.

The research findings are more robust and reliable thanks to this triangulated approach [8].

## 2. TECHNIQUES FOR GATHERING DATA

To obtain thorough insights, the study uses both primary and secondary data collection methods.

2.1 *Primary Data Survey Tool: Key Stakeholders From A Variety Of Industries, Such As Business, Government, And Academia, Will Be Given Structured Questionnaires. The Survey Will Include Both Closed-Ended (Likert Scale) And Open-Ended Questions To Assess Perspectives On Political Stability And Power Rivalry.*

Interviews: To gain a deeper understanding of practical implications and new trends, semi-structured interviews with geopolitical experts and policymakers are recommended [9].

### 2.2 *Secondary Information*

We will track geopolitical trends and their regional effects by analyzing government reports, policy briefs, think tank publications, and peer-reviewed journals.

This analysis will be informed by credible data sources, including the Council on Foreign Relations, Chatham House, and the World Economic Forum.

## 3. ANALYSIS OF DATA

The gathered data will be interpreted using a mix of content analysis, thematic analysis, and descriptive statistics.

### 3.1 *Analysis That Is Quantitative*

The results of the survey will be compiled using descriptive statistics, which will reveal patterns in the participants' assessments of geopolitical threats, influential people, and world stability.

Regional variations and the perceived influence of major powers will be examined through comparative analysis.

### 3.2 *Analysis Of Qualities*

With an emphasis on geopolitical rivalry, diplomatic tactics, and conflict resolution, thematic analysis will be used to find recurrent themes in interview responses.

In order to map trends in global power dynamics, content analysis will glean insights from academic publications and policy reports [10].

## 4. APPLICATION OF THE THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

With a focus on power dynamics, strategic alliances, and security concerns, this study primarily employs the Realist Theory of International Relations [11]. Important theoretical components consist of:

Power Politics: Describes how superpowers use their position of authority to increase their security.

The theory of balance of power emphasizes how opposing powers preserve equilibrium in order to avoid domination.

The Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT) examines how local actors and global powers influence regional dynamics [12].

These frameworks offer an organized way to examine strategic changes, geopolitical conflicts, and how they affect regional stability.

## 5. MORAL POINTS TO REMEMBER

To protect participants and maintain data integrity, this study will abide by accepted ethical standards.

Knowledgeable Consent: Anonymity and Confidentiality: All collected data will be securely stored, and personal information will be anonymized. Prior to consent, all participants will receive a briefing on the purpose, goals, and confidentiality measures.

Research Integrity: To ensure impartial interpretation, equitable representation, and prevention of data manipulation, the study will adhere to the British Sociological Association's (BSA) Ethical Guidelines [13].

## 6. RESTRICTIONS

Although this study attempts to offer a thorough grasp of power struggles and political stability worldwide, some limitations are noted:

**The complexity of geopolitics:** Some findings may become less relevant over time due to the dynamic nature of international relations.

**Data Reliability:** In order to ensure accuracy, survey responses that contain subjective opinions must be triangulated with secondary data.

**Regional Bias:** Findings may not be as broadly applicable if some geopolitical regions are underrepresented.

By using a variety of data sources and keeping a balanced regional focus, efforts will be made to reduce these limitations.

## IV. DATA ANALYSIS

### 1. OVERVIEW OF DEMOGRAPHICS

Responses to the survey were gathered from 101 participants worldwide, offering a range of viewpoints on world politics. Table 1 displays the regional distribution.

**Table 1.** Respondents' regional distribution.

Respondents (%)	Region
36%	South Caucasus
21%	Europe
17%	Asia-Pacific
12%	North America
10%	Middle East
5%	Africa

The South Caucasus and Europe are well represented, which highlights the increased interest in stability from areas going through or observing geopolitical changes. Latin America may be underrepresented in the global conversation, as evidenced by the lack of responses from that region.

### 2. WORK EXPERIENCES

As shown in Table 2, respondents were selected from a variety of industries and provided scholarly, governmental, and practical perspectives.

**Table 2.** Respondents' professional experiences.

Respondents	Profession
49	Academic/Researcher
12	Government/Policy Maker
14	Student
9	Business/Corporate
13	Other
3	Journalist/Media
1	Military/Defense

While contributions from corporate professionals and policy makers demonstrate a cross-disciplinary interest in geopolitical stability, the preponderance of academic voices (49%) indicates scholarly engagement with the topic.

### 3. PERCEPTION OF POLITICAL STABILITY WORLDWIDE

As shown in Table 3, respondents were asked to rate the present level of global stability.

**Table 3.** Evaluation of international political stability.

Respondents	Perception
12	Highly Stable
32	Moderately Stable
20	Neutral
26	Moderately Unstable
11	Highly Unstable

Few people believe that the world order is secure or in complete crisis, indicating a near-balance between opinions of moderate stability and moderate instability.

### 4. SERIOUS RISKS TO INTERNATIONAL STABILITY

As shown in Table 4, participants chose several factors that contribute to instability.

**Table 4.** Perceived risks to international stability.

Respondents	Threat
63	Economic crises & financial instability
62	Military conflicts & geopolitical tension
40	Climate change & environmental disaster
33	Energy crises & resource competition
26	Political polarization & authoritarianism
23	Cybersecurity & digital warfare
9	Misinformation & propaganda

Non-traditional risks like environmental and cyber challenges are becoming more widely recognized, but traditional worries like military and economic threats still predominate.

### 5. INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS' ROLE

As shown in Table 5, respondents evaluated the efficacy of organizations such as the UN and NATO.

**Table 5.** International organizations' effectiveness.

Respondents	Viewpoint
54	Somewhat effective, need reform
19	Play a critical role
17	Ineffective
11	Unsure

Most people think that while international organizations are still necessary, they need significant changes to remain effective and relevant in a changing global order.

### 6. KEY PLAYERS IN THE WORLD

The most significant players in the world today were determined by the participants and are shown in Table 6.

**Table 6.** Leading international performers.

Respondents	Actor
74	United States
34	Russia
26	China
20	European Union
13	BRICS
13	Middle Eastern Powers

Although Russia, China, and regional blocs are recognized as emerging rivals within the global power structure, the United States still holds a dominant position in views of global influence.

7. *THE CHARACTER OF GREAT POWER COMPETITION*

**Table 7.** Views on great power competition.

Respondents	Description
40	Necessary balance in international relations
39	Major threat to global stability
18	May escalate into conflict
4	Opportunity for smaller nations to strengthen

Uncertainty in forecasting the results of ongoing geopolitical competition is revealed by the nearly equal divide between seeing rivalry as a balancing force and a destabilizing one.

8. *AREAS AT RISK*

**Table 8.** Areas most at risk from competition.

Respondents	Region
54	Eastern Europe
50	Middle East
28	Asia-Pacific
25	South Caucasus
16	Africa
5	Latin America

Because of ongoing wars and long-standing tensions, Eastern Europe and the Middle East are viewed as flashpoints. Growing worries about the US-China rivalry are reflected in the Asia-Pacific region's inclusion.

9. *GLOBAL POWER SOURCES*

**Table 9.** Worldwide power sources.

Respondents	Source
30	Economic power
30	Military power
21	Technological/AI dominance
15	Strategic alliances/diplomacy
5	Cultural/ideological influence

A changing understanding of power in the twenty-first century is suggested by the increased focus on technology and artificial intelligence, even though economic and military might are still crucial.

10. *PROSPECTS FOR THE FUTURE*

**Table 10.** Prospects for international political stability.

Respondents	Forecast
40	Significantly improve
27	Slightly improve
10	Remain the same
14	Slightly worsen
10	Significantly worsen

Despite the optimism, a sizable portion predict stagnation or decline, which is indicative of unresolved systemic problems and global uncertainties.

11. *QUALITATIVE SUMMARY OF STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS*

- Several avenues for enhancing stability were proposed by open-ended responses:
- Increasing multilateral collaboration and diplomacy
- Changing international organizations to improve representation
- Putting money into cybersecurity and AI frameworks
- Encouraging regional dialogue and peacebuilding
- Dealing with disinformation and digital governance

These concepts demonstrate a consensus for proactive, inclusive, and technologically flexible approaches to deal with both present and future challenges to international order.

12. *RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS*

Based on the survey results and the larger body of research on geopolitical dynamics, this section provides practical solutions to promote international political stability and handle the intricacies of great power competition and regional effects.

13. *INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTIONS: REFORM AND STRENGTHENING*

**Problem Solved:** A decline in confidence in current international organizations such as the WTO, NATO, and the UN.

**Suggestions:**

**Reforms to the Institutions:** Increase the representation of developing countries and emerging economies to democratize decision-making [14].

**Mandates should be expanded** to cover new threats such as AI weaponization, cyberwarfare, and climate conflict [15].

**Accountability Mechanisms:** Establish more robust systems for international law compliance and enforcement.

14. *ENCOURAGE MULTIPOLAR EQUILIBRIUM THROUGH CONSCIENTIOUS DIPLOMACY*

**Problem Solved:** Growing bipolar or tripolar tension brought on by rivalries between the US, China, and Russia.

**Suggestions:** De-escalating regional conflicts through constructive engagement: Implement Track II diplomacy procedures and high-level diplomatic discussions [16].

**Regional Balancing:** Promote the mediation and balancing of hegemonic influences by regional powers, such as the EU, India, and Brazil.

**Strategic Treaties:** Create new agreements between major powers on non-interference, economic cooperation, and arms control.

15. *ESTABLISH FRAMEWORKS FOR REGIONAL SECURITY AND COOPERATION*

High susceptibility of areas such as Asia-Pacific, the Middle East, and Eastern Europe is the issue addressed.

Suggestions:

Regional Discussions: Establish dispute resolution procedures such as GCC reforms, OSCE modernization, and ASEAN forums [17].

Models of Collective Security: Encourage intelligence sharing and defense cooperation in areas that are at risk.

Decentralized Governance: Boost sub-regional coalitions and councils capable of taking prompt action in local emergencies.

16. *ADDRESS NON-TRADITIONAL THREATS (CLIMATE, CYBER, DISINFORMATION): GROWING IMPACT OF INFORMATION WARFARE, DIGITAL THREATS, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DETERIORATION.*

Suggestions:

Green diplomacy: Prioritize environmental cooperation and climate resilience in international security plans [18].

Cybersecurity Agreements: Encourage international agreements on digital sovereignty and cyberwarfare [19].

Media Literacy & Regulation: To counter false information, raise public awareness and place clear rules on tech companies.

17. *STRENGTHEN UNDERDEVELOPED COUNTRIES AND DISENFRANCHISED AREAS*

High levels of instability and underrepresentation in developing nations are the issues addressed.

Suggestions: Building Capacity: Provide fragile states with financial, diplomatic, and infrastructure assistance. Include perspectives from the Global South in significant international discussions to promote inclusive global governance.

Decentralized Aid Systems: Create partnerships with regional civil societies and direct funding models.

18. *ENCOURAGE INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGICAL DIPLOMACY PROBLEM SOLVED: UNBALANCED POWER AS A RESULT OF TECHNOLOGICAL MONOPOLIES, AI, AND QUANTUM COMPUTING.*

Suggestions: Technology Alliances: Establish multilateral partnerships for the development of moral AI and the exchange of digital infrastructure.

Tech Diplomacy Envoys: To negotiate international tech cooperation, designate national envoys for digital affairs.

R&D Funding: To bridge the technological gap, support collaborative innovation hubs across countries.

19. *RETHINK CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND EDUCATION PROBLEM SOLVED: WEAKENED DEMOCRATIC NORMS AND POLITICAL POLARIZATION.*

Suggestions:

Global Civic Education: Include lessons on critical thinking, peacebuilding, and global citizenship in school curricula.

Platforms for Public Diplomacy: Establish youth exchange initiatives and online forums for cross-cultural communication.

Media Empowerment: Encourage independent reporting and fact-checking efforts around the world.

20. *CONFLICT PREVENTION TECHNIQUES AND EARLY-WARNING SYSTEMS*

Problem Solved: The development of minor conflicts into major emergencies.

Suggestions:

Tools for Geopolitical Forecasting: Create monitoring systems with AI capabilities to identify instability early on.

Conflict Mediation Teams: Set up quick-reaction diplomatic missions headed by the UN or a regional authority.

Give diplomats and decision-makers the skills they need for de-escalation and peaceful negotiation through preventive diplomacy training.

Multifaceted, inclusive, and forward-thinking solutions are necessary to achieve global political stability in a world marked by shifting power dynamics and regional volatility. It is critical to address non-traditional threats, empower underrepresented regions, rebalance geopolitical influence, and strengthen global governance. The

world can negotiate the challenges of the new global order and guarantee a more just and peaceful international system by encouraging cooperation between states, institutions, and civil societies.

## V. CONCLUSION

The resurgence of great power rivalry, the disintegration of international norms, and the formation of new regional alliances are all contributing factors to the significant change in the dynamic terrain of international politics. By examining the complex relationships between the new world order and global political stability, this study has brought attention to the strategic competition between the United States, China, and Russia as well as its repercussions in different geopolitical areas. The survey's results highlight the general belief that geopolitical instability exists, particularly in areas like the Middle East, Eastern Europe, and South Asia. Strong worries were also expressed by respondents regarding the emergence of authoritarianism, the breakdown of multilateral institutions, and the expanding role of information warfare and cyberspace in influencing political outcomes. These observations support the need for a reevaluated approach to diplomacy and governance in the twenty-first century and are consistent with current worldwide trends. Important issues that need to be addressed in order to create a stable and sustainable international order include institutional legitimacy, regional security mechanisms, non-traditional security threats, and inclusive governance. A cooperative, multipolar, and rule-based framework is required to navigate this period of strategic uncertainty, as it is clear that no single power can unilaterally uphold order. In the end, international political stability depends on countries' ability to work together across ideological divides, find creative ways to counter unconventional threats, and defend the principles of justice, sovereignty, and human dignity. This research urges bold, inclusive, and forward-thinking leadership to help shape a peaceful and resilient future at a time when the world is at a crossroads.

## Funding Statement

This research received no external funding.

## Author Contributions

All authors made an equal contribution to the development, design, analysis, drafting, review, and final approval of the study.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Data Availability Statement

Data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of the editor and reviewers in the preparation of the article for publication.

## REFERENCES

1. Clingendael Institute, "Great powers and global stability," Clingendael Institute, 2016.
2. T. Zhao, "Competition between great powers and a looming strategic arms race in the Asia-Pacific," *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, vol. 26, pp. 123-140, 2021.
3. L. Heininen, "Great-power politics and regional order in the Arctic," in *The Global Arctic Handbook*, L. Heininen, Ed. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2024, pp. 25-40.
4. B. R. S. Almomani and M. N. B. L. Azmi, "Battles of influence: A constructivist and realist analysis of great power rivalries and regional stability in the 21st century," *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 843-857, 2024.
5. W. Hutto, "Power dynamics at the global-regional nexus: Examining structural influences on regional power behavior," *International Politics*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 445-465, 2021.

6. D. Averre and L. Davies, "The emerging great power politics and regionalism: Structuring effective conflict resolution in the post-liberal order," *Global Policy*, vol. 11, no. S3, pp. 5-14, 2020.
7. J. W. Creswell, *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*, 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage Publications, 2014.
8. A. Bryman, *Social Research Methods*, 5th ed. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press, 2015.
9. R. K. Yin, *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods*, 6th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage Publications, 2018.
10. V. Braun and V. Clarke, "Using thematic analysis in psychology," *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 77-101, 2006.
11. J. J. Mearsheimer, *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. New York, NY, USA: W. W. Norton & Company, 2001.
12. B. Buzan and O. Wæver, *Regions and Powers: The Structure of International Security*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
13. British Sociological Association, *Ethical Guidelines for Good Research Practice*. London, U.K.: British Sociological Association, 2017.
14. T. G. Weiss and S. Daws, Eds., *The Oxford Handbook on the United Nations*, 2nd ed. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press, 2020.
15. E. Pauwels, "Power and governance in the age of AI," *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, vol. 77, no. 5, pp. 252-258, 2021.
16. G. J. Ikenberry, *A World Safe for Democracy: Liberal Internationalism and the Crises of Global Order*. New Haven, CT, USA: Yale University Press, 2020.
17. A. Acharya, *Constructing Global Order: Agency and Change in World Politics*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2018.
18. United Nations Development Programme, *Human Development Report 2023: The Future of Global Cooperation*. New York, NY, USA: United Nations Development Programme, 2023.
19. M. N. Schmitt, "International law and the future of global stability," *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 1-19, 2022.